$\mu = 0.624 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $0.42 \times 0.24 \times 0.18$ mm

T = 293 (2) K

Parallelepiped

Dark brown

$$a = 8.9162 (1) \text{ Å}$$

$$b = 9.6764 (1) \text{ Å}$$

$$c = 20.0766 (1) \text{ Å}$$

$$\alpha = 78.536 (1)^{\circ}$$

$$\beta = 81.520 (1)^{\circ}$$

$$\gamma = 83.922 (1)^{\circ}$$

$$V = 1673.79 (3) \text{ Å}^{3}$$

$$Z = 2$$

$$D_{\circ} = 1.517 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$$

1.517 Mg 1 D_m not measured

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD area-5610 reflections with detector diffractometer $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.014$ ω scans Absorption correction: $\theta_{\rm max} = 26^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ empirical (SADABS; $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ Sheldrick, 1996) $l = -15 \rightarrow 24$ $T_{\rm min} = 0.780, T_{\rm max} = 0.896$ 9910 measured reflections Intensity decay: negligible 6440 independent reflections

-2

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.250 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.207$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.804 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.090	Extinction correction:
6440 reflections	SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
462 parameters	1997)
H atoms treated by a	Extinction coefficient:
mixture of independent	0.026 (4)
and constrained refinement	Scattering factors from
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1169P)^2]$	International Tables for
+ 2.3590P]	Crystallography (Vol. C)
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

....

0.000

Mn1—O2	1.918 (3)	O2—C6	1.341 (5)
Mn1-03	1.924 (3)	O3—C29	1.315 (5)
Mn1—N4	1.927 (4)	N4C23	1.291 (6)
Mn1—N5	1.950 (4)	N5—C20	1.284 (6)
O2—Mn1—O3	90.19 (12)	O2Mn1N5	93.24 (14)
O2Mn1N4	176.59 (14)	O3—Mn1—N5	169.36 (15)
O3—Mn1—N4	93.13 (14)	N4Mn1N5	83.36 (16)
O3—Mn1—O2—C6	172.1 (3)	O2-Mn1-O3-C29	171.9 (3)
N4Mn1	-20(3)	N4Mn1O3C29	-7.4(3)
N5-Mn1-O2-C6	-18.0(3)	N5Mn1	62.9 (9)

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D — $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	HA	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = H \cdots A$
N32-H32···O1W	0.91	2.01	2.782 (6)	141
N14H14· · ·O2	0.91	2.15	2.818 (4)	129
C33—H33 <i>B</i> ···O17 ⁱ	0.97	2.47	3.130 (6)	125

Symmetry code: (i) 1 + x, y, z.

Most of the H atoms were fixed geometrically. The remainder (H10, H18A, H18B, H20, H25, H31A and H31B) were located from difference Fourier maps and refined. The H atoms of the water molecule were not located.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996). Cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996). Data reduction: SAINT. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: ZORTEP (Zsolnai, 1997). Software used

to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PARST (Nardelli, 1983, 1995).

SSSR thanks the Universiti Sains Malaysia for a visiting Postdoctoral Research Fellowship. HKF would like to thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grant R&D No. 190-9609-2801.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NA1412). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Brock, C. P. & Dunitz, J. D. (1994). Chem. Mater. 6, 1118-1127.
- Faulkner, K. M., Stevens, R. D. & Fridovich, I. (1994). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 310, 341-349.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Riley, D. P. & Weiss, R. H. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 387-395. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Program for the Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Area Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Wada, K., Fujibayashi, Y. & Yokoyama, A. (1994). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 310, 1-9.
- Zsolani, L. (1997). ZORTEP. An Interactive ORTEP Program for Structure Illustration. University of Heidelberg, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1220-1222

Dichloro(ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid)palladium(II) hexahydrate

Xue-mei Luo,^a Xiao-hua Chen,^a S. Shanmuga Sundara RAJ,^b HOONG-KUN FUN^b AND LONG-GEN ZHU^a

^aCoordination Chemistry Institute & State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China, and ^bX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia. E-mail: zhulg@public1.ptt. js.cn

(Received 3 September 1998; accepted 28 April 1999)

Abstract

In the title compound, $[PdCl_2(C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_8)] \cdot 6H_2O$, the Pd^{II} atom has a square-planar environment made up of two chloride anions and the two N atoms of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (edta), with the four carboxylic

acid moieties uncoordinated. The Pd—N and Pd—Cl distances are 2.087 (2) and 2.299 (1) Å, respectively, and the N—Pd—Cl angle is $91.7 (1)^{\circ}$. The molecule lies on a crystallographic twofold axis. The crystal structure is stabilized by an extensive array of hydrogen bonds involving the carboxylic acid groups and the water molecules.

Comment

The study of the Pd^{II} complex with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (edta), (I), was initiated for two reasons. Firstly, as a promoter of the cleavage of peptides, this complex was found to form a hydrolytically active mononuclear Pd^{II} complex with the substrates which may be associated with proteins cleavage (Chen *et al.*, 1998). Secondly, although the crystal structure of the title complex was determined by Robinson & Kennard (1967) using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (nickel-filtered, single-crystal oscillation and Weissenberg photographs), there are some shortcomings, *i.e.* the crystal data were incomplete, the space group was not unambiguously determined and a high *R* value of 0.12 was obtained. We report here the detailed crystal structure data of the complex, with a low *R* value of 0.032.



The edta molecule coordinates to the Pd^{II} atom by chelating through the two N atoms to form a five-membered ring, while the four carboxylic acid groups are uncoordinated. The other two coordinating ligands are chloride anions, resulting in a square-planar coordination for Pd^{II}. The bond distances between Pd^{II} and the ligand atoms are normal. The distances within the edta moiety are typical and are comparable with



Fig. 1. The molecule of (I) with ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

literature values (Robinson & Kennard, 1967, 1970). There is a C_2 axis along Pd^{II} and the midpoint of the C5—C5ⁱ bond [symmetry code: (i) -y, -x, $\frac{3}{2} - z$], and the Pd atom thus lies in the least-squares plane through the four coordinated atoms (N1, N1ⁱ, C11 and Clⁱ). Both the Pd and Pt complexes (Robinson & Kennard, 1967, 1970; Busch & Bailar, 1956) have been reported to contain five water molecules, though the hydrogenbonding distances in the present complex with six water molecules are all reasonable. There are, however, pronounced differences between the C=O and C-OH distances compared with the Pd analog (Whalen *et al.*, 1996). Molecules are held together by hydrogen bonds involving the H atoms bound to non-C atoms and those of the water molecules (Table 2).

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to a published procedure (Busch & Bailar, 1956). To a solution of disodium dihydrogen edta (0.201 g, 0.54 mmol) was added K_2PdCl_4 (0.176 g, 0.54 mmol), after which the mixture was heated at about 353 K and stirred for 30 min. The resulting solution was cooled and concentrated HCl was added whereupon crystallization occurred. The product was filtered, washed twice with double-distilled water and dried in a vacuum. The product was then dissolved in double-distilled water and a few μ l of HCl were added. The solution was evaporated at room temperature and orange single crystals were collected for crystallographic analysis.

Crystal data

$[PdCl_2(C_{10}H_{16}N_2O_8)] \cdot 6H_2O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 577.64$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Tetragonal	Cell parameters from 6893
P41212	reflections
a = 10.1962 (2) Å	$\theta = 1.4 - 33.2^{\circ}$
c = 21.0571 (7) Å	$\mu = 1.159 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 2189.15(9) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 293 (2) K
Z = 4	Block
$D_x = 1.753 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.36 \times 0.24 \times 0.22$ mm
D_m not measured	Orange
Data collection	
Siemens SMART CCD area-	3795 reflections with
detector diffractometer	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scan	$R_{int} = 0.031$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 33.35^{\circ}$

empirical (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.667, T_{max} = 0.775$ 16 670 measured reflections 2473 independent reflections (plus 1707 Friedel-related reflections)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 12$

 $k = -8 \rightarrow 15$

 $l = -32 \rightarrow 26$

S = 1.085	Extinction correction: none
4180 reflections	Scattering factors from
132 parameters	International Tables for
H-atom parameters not	Crystallography (Vol. C)
refined	Absolute structure:
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0395P)^2]$	Flack (1983)
+ 0.7536P]	Flack parameter = $-0.01(4)$
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pd1—N1	2.0872 (19)	O2-C1	1.304 (4)
Pd1—C11	2.2991 (6)	O3—C3	1.213 (4)
N1—C2	1.484 (3)	O4—C3	1.310(3)
N1-C4	1.485 (3)	C1-C2	1.523 (4)
N1-C5	1.498 (3)	C3C4	1.500 (4)
01—C1	1.190 (4)		
N1—Pd1—C11	91.70 (6)	C2-N1-Pd1	105.60 (14
C2—N1—C4	109.47 (19)	C4	112.74 (15
C2-N1-C5	112.77 (19)	C5—N1—Pd1	105.91 (14
C4—N1—C5	110.3 (2)		

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H···A	DH	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H···A
O4—H4 <i>C</i> ···O2 <i>W</i>	0.82	1.79	2.602 (5)	170
O1 <i>W</i> —H2W1···C11	1.03	2.23	3.133 (4)	146
O3 <i>W</i> —H2O3· · ·O1	0.86	2.15	2.858 (6)	139
O2—H2 <i>C</i> ···O1 <i>W</i> ⁱ	0.82	1.83	2.646 (6)	176
$O2W - H2W2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3W^{ii}$	1.09	2.55	3.539 (8)	151
O2W—H1W2···O2W ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.63	3.338 (7)	140
0	1		1 1	

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1, y, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2}-y$, $\frac{1}{2}+x$, $\frac{1}{4}+z$; (iii) 1-y, 1-x, $\frac{1}{2}-z$.

H atoms on oxygen were located from difference maps, while those on carbon were placed in calculated positions, with C— H distances of 0.97 Å. U_{iso} values for the H atoms on C2 and C4 were assigned as $1.2U_{eq}$ of the C atom, while those on C5 and the O atoms were assigned as $1.5U_{eq}$ of the attached atom. H atoms were not refined.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996a). Cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996b). Data reduction: SAINT. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PARST (Nardelli, 1995).

LZ thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 2987017 and 29823001) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (grant No. BK97015) for financial support. SSSR thanks Universiti Sains Malaysia for a Visiting Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, and HKF would like to thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grant R&D No. 190-9609-2801.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FR1173). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Busch, D. H. & Bailar, J. C. (1956). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 716–719. Chen, X., Luo, X., Song, Y., Zhou, S. & Zhu, L. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 2271–2278.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A**39**, 876–881. Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. **28**, 659.

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Robinson, D. J. & Kennard, C. H. L. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1236-1237.

- Robinson, D. J. & Kennard, C. H. L. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1008-1012.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL Software Reference Manual. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996a). SMART Software Reference Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996b). SAINT Software Reference Manual. Version 4. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Whalen, J. T., Chang, S.-C. & Morman, R. E. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 297-300.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1222-1224

{4,4'-Dimethyl-6'-morpholiniomethyl-6morpholinomethyl-2,2'-[1,2-ethanediylbis-(iminomethylene)]diphenolato}copper(II) perchlorate dihydrate

S. Shanmuga Sundara Raj,^{*a*} D. Velmurugan,^{*b*} K. Gunasekaran,^{*b*} Hoong-Kun Fun,^{*a*} J. Manonmani^{*c*} and M. Kandaswamy^{*c*}

^aX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, ^bDepartment of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and ^cDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India. E-mail: velu@cyberspace. org

(Received 25 January 1999; accepted 26 April 1999)

Abstract

In the title compound, $[Cu(C_{28}H_{41}N_4O_4)]ClO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, the copper ion is in a square-pyramidal environment, with the basal plane being defined by the two phenoxy O and the two amino N atoms. Both morpholino rings are in chair conformations. The molecules are packed in the crystal with a three-dimensional hydrogenbonding network involving the water molecules and the perchlorate ion.

Comment

The study of metal complexes is focused very much towards the field of biomimicry where they can be used as model compounds for biological metallo compounds like proteins and enzymes (Suzuki *et al.*, 1984).

In the title complex, (I), the copper ion is coordinated by two phenoxy O and two amine N atoms in a